

Somalia Political Economy Analysis Proposed Program Description

Purpose

USAID/BHA proposes a resilience, food, and nutrition security Political Economy Analysis (PEA) to inform the design of a potential RFSA solicitation in Somalia and to serve as a publicly available resource for implementing partners for applications responding to a RFSA solicitation. BHA will require one report (geographic focus to be determined). The PEA(s) will provide context-specific situational awareness.

Objectives

With this broad purpose in mind, BHA seeks a synthesis of existing information regarding:

- The interests and behavior of key actors and institutions.
- The patterns and causes of the conflict.
- How governance, political instability, and conflict impact the resilience of local populations.
- The sociopolitical context in which they are situated.
- Political-economic dynamics in Somalia and how they influence patterns of vulnerability and chronic food and nutrition insecurity.

Below the reader will find a list of suggested questions for each Knowledge Goal. These questions are of concern to the BHA RFSA design in Somalia and potential partners working to address resilience for the most vulnerable in the focus geographies. To the extent possible, researchers should use these guiding questions to inform their approach. BHA understands that information sources and time may be limiting factors in seeking to address all of the factors highlighted below.

Country Context

The specific geographic areas within Somalia are yet to be determined. However it is reasonable to expect that the following contextual characteristics will apply to each of the identified areas, including:

- Ongoing conflict that significantly influences the feasibility, effectiveness, and outcomes of food and nutrition security interventions
- Political, economic and environmental challenges dating back many decades
- Violent and disputed land redistribution, collapse of the commercial agricultural sector, decline in smallholder productivity, politically motivated violence and conflict, and economic collapse
- Local currency devaluation
- Unsound monetary policies that contribute to the flourishing of currency black market and collapse of formal businesses replaced by informal sector.
- Rural economies are predominantly agrarian. Rainfed agriculture, pastoralism, agropastoralism, petty commerce and informal employment in both agricultural and non-agricultural activities are the principal economic activities in rural areas. Transfers in the

- form of gifts from friends and family, charity and remittances are also important sources of income. Both seasonal and long-term migration are common in some contexts.
- Complete dependence on rainfed agriculture in arid, drought-prone lands is risky. The rural
 poor in these areas seek to diversify their livelihood strategies. Although access to local
 markets varies, in many contexts, the rural poor purchase a significant amount of the food
 that they consume.
- Climate change and depletion of natural resources with accompanying shocks and stresses to the natural environment are and will continue to be severe.

Knowledge Goals

Knowledge Goal 1: Understanding key actors and institutions.

A stakeholder mapping exercise, to be carried out in the initial Desk Review, will identify and describe key institutions and actors in the target geography. The PEA should build on and deepen this analysis. In doing so, the PEA must:

- Consider both traditional systems of authority within communities and public institutions in the target area.
- Consider the preferences and motivations of citizens, traditional leaders, public officials and other key actors and interest groups.
- Consider contextual factors (including norms, rules, economic circumstances and the political environment) that influence the choices and behaviors of key actors.

Potential lines of inquiry regarding communities and traditional authority

- 1. Are local communities economically stratified?
- 2. Are they culturally diverse? Are they internally divided by class, caste, clan, ethnic identity or religion?
- 3. To what degree is extreme poverty and vulnerability associated with caste, clan ethnic identity or religion? In other words, who are the poorest, most marginalized and vulnerable?
- 4. Is there evidence of discrimination, exclusion, or exploitation between clans, ethnic groups, age groups, etc.?
- 5. Are there cultural barriers to participation in social activities, entitlements or economic activities?
- 6. To what degree is traditional authority rooted in clan, ethnic identity or religion?
- 7. To whom are traditional authorities accountable?
- 8. Are traditional authorities responsive to the needs of all community members?
- 9. Are they seen as legitimate by all members of communities?
- 10. How are disputes resolved? Is dispute resolution perceived as fair?

Potential lines of inquiry regarding public institutions

- 1. To what degree are public institutions bureaucratic vs. personalistic?
- 2. Is the selection of office holders based on merit or connections?
- 3. To whom are public officials accountable? In what ways are they accountable?

- 4. Special attention should be given to patterns of behavior within weakly institutionalized (personalistic) institutions where authority is based on patron-client relationships rather than rule of law.
- 5. Is the mission of office holders the provision of public goods and services to all residents?
- 6. To what degree are public institutions characterized by patron-client relations, factionalism, rent seeking and corruption?
- 7. How stable are public institutions?
- 8. How do local structures of authority such as traditional leaders, religious and social norms affect political and economic dynamics?
- 9. What is the 'contested space' between them and non-governmental institutions, such as religious leaders and/or traditional organizations?
- 10. How do interactions between local and traditional authorities affect governance and access to public goods and services?
- 11. How has the conflict shaped the relationship between local and traditional authority?

Knowledge Goal 2: Understanding the patterns and causes of the conflict

The PEA should identify patterns and causes of conflict in the target area. Special attention should be given to local conflicts including clan or ethnically charged communal violence, banditry, etc. Broader conflicts involving national parties and factions, social movements, armed forces or non-state actors (including national and trans-national insurgencies) should also be considered if they are likely to significantly impact the stability of the target area and the resilience of its population.

Potential lines of inquiry regarding local communities and traditional authority

- 1. What are the principal patterns of instability and conflict in the target area? In answering this question, refer to the intensity, frequency and duration of conflicts.
- 2. Who are the principal participants?
- 3. What are the conflicts about?
- 4. To what degree are conflicts between clans?
- 5. To what extent is communal violence religiously or ethnically charged?
- 6. What are the triggers?
- 7. Who are the instigators? Mitigators?
- 8. Under what conditions is conflict likely to worsen? What trends and social processes are likely to contribute to conflict?
- 9. What factors promote/inhibit social cohesion among community members?
- 10. To what extent and under what circumstances do bonding, bridging and linking social capital mitigate or contribute to conflict?
- 11. To what extent are traditional rivalries being exploited by outside actors? What are the causes and effects of this dynamic?
- 12. To what extent are armies and/or non-state armed forces present in the target geography?
- 13. What are the interactions between local actors and armed groups? How do these interactions affect the motivations and behavior of local actors, social relations amongst them and local patterns of conflict?

Knowledge Goal 3: Understanding how governance, political instability and conflict impact the resilience of local populations

Potential lines of inquiry regarding the resilience of local populations

- 1. What are the main social, political and economic barriers and opportunities for resilience interventions in the focus geographies?
- 2. To what extent are there sub-populations that are excluded from participation in community activities and access to community assistance in times of need due to social exclusion?
- 3. To what extent does social exclusion result in reduced access to public goods and services?
- 4. To what extent is the local population subject to rent seeking by traditional authorities, government officials or armed forces?
- 5. How does rent seeking impact citizens' access to public goods and services (including safety and justice)?
- 6. How does it impact their ability to engage in commercially viable activities? In short, how does it impact resilience?
- 7. To what degree are local populations at risk of violence?
- 8. How do local patterns of conflict affect resilience in the target geography? More specifically, to what extent is violence likely to result in property loss, forced displacement, loss of freedom of movement or loss of control of one's labor?
- 9. Do social barriers and the risk of violence inhibit economic mobility?
- 10. To what extent do social barriers prevent flight from violence?
- 11. To what extent does instability and uncertainty impede citizens ability to accumulate assets, plan and invest in the future?

General Research Methods

Applicants should propose a research methodology. Research methods for the deliverables may differ slightly based on the research questions, but the general set of methods are expected to be qualitative and will include:

- <u>Literature Review</u>: The researcher(s) will review existing documents related to Somalia. The review will be based on information available in the published and grey literature and will identify any information gaps and may be augmented through selected key informant interviews. USAID/BHA has indicated that it will work with USAID/Somalia to gather internal documents of relevance and make them available to the awardee if possible.
- <u>Key Informant Interviews</u>: Based on the literature review, researchers should consult with key
 informants, such as: USAID staff, USAID implementing partners, Host Government institutions,
 civil society stakeholders, private sector actors, targeted participants (food insecure
 individuals), and other relevant donors. These may be conducted remotely or in-country.
- <u>Focus Group Discussions</u>: Group discussions with key stakeholders and important sources
 of information, such as: participant (food insecure) groups, civil society associations,
 conflict-affected groups, donor groups, private sector associations. These may be
 conducted remotely or in-country.

Researchers should consider conflict-sensitivity, particularly when engaging directly with key informants and focus groups.

Political Economy Analysis Methods

Researchers may wish to adapt USAID's Applied PEAs methodology in order to better understand the source of challenges associated with achievement of food and nutritional security in focus regions in Somalia. The PEA report should provide an evidence base for *thinking and working politically*. Of particular importance in Somalia will be analysis of the lack of formal Host Government institutional structures in focus areas, how informal and alternative governance bodies have filled this vacuum, and how this has affected the availability, access, utilization, and stability of safe and nutritious food.

Desired Team Competencies and Composition

The awardee should demonstrate the following qualifications and competencies:

- a strong background in political economy
- experience analyzing the impact of socio-political phenomena on local populations and regional food systems
- familiarity with Somalia, expertise preferred
- experience and competence with the following research methods:
 - Analytical desk reviews
 - Key Informant Interviews
 - Focus Group Discussions
 - Geographic Information Systems analysis and mapping
 - Stakeholder mapping and analysis
 - Qualitative data analysis

Deliverables

The awardee should plan for an initial overview presentation to USAID and draft document submission for comment, followed by final documentation and out briefing according to the timeline below. The presentation should provide a brief overview to USAID/BHA of the secondary data and literature related to salient changes to the Somalia food and nutrition security context, stakeholder mapping, and initial PEA findings based on an initial review. Given that USAID plans to release these reports publicly as part of guidance to potential applicants, any sensitive political or conflict related issues should be flagged for the awardee to the extent possible. USAID will also review context for sensitive issues before release.

Guidelines for the Somalia PEA Report (35 pages):

- 1. Executive Summary: Summarizes the full report and highlights key findings and recommendations (1-2 pages)
- 2. Introduction: Summarizes the assessment purpose, audience, and core and supporting PEA questions
- 3. Methodology: Describes the PEA research process and limitations to inform the reader of the boundaries of the research and some of the obstacles encountered during the study period
- 4. Findings: The findings should address the PEA knowledge goals and supporting lines of inquiry. To the extent possible, the findings should consider the PEA Framework's pillars: foundational factors, rules and of the game, and the here and now. Other related information discovered during the research process can be included if it demonstrates that

¹ https://usaidlearninglab.org/library/applied-political-economy-analysis-pea-reference-materials

- the PEA knowledge goals should be modified to more accurately investigate the sector/issue under review.
- 5. Recommendations: This section considers the dynamics at play and the implications for USG investment strategy. Based on these implications, recommendations are provided to inform USG investment via USAID programming, Embassy and other USG agencies that have an interest in shared outcomes of an investment.
- 6. Annexes: At a minimum, the annex should include: the desk study or literature review (if significantly different than the LASER desk review); interview schedule and key informants (note: due to the sensitivity of many PEAs, the names of individuals interviewed can be omitted and replaced with the organization and date of interview—even this can be omitted if considered potentially very sensitive). Any other supporting information that would make the report too long (> 35 pages) should also be placed in an annex.

Deliverables and Schedule:

Deliverable	Submission/Delivery Date
Deliverable 1: Workplan	July 7, 2023
Deliverable 2: Data Collection Tools	July 11, 2023
Deliverable 3: PEA Report Outline	July 28, 2023
Deliverable 4: Annotated Bibliography (one annotated bibliography will be submitted for all studies)	August 18, 2023
Deliverable 5: Presentation on Initial Findings (actual presentation and PowerPoint)	October 27, 2023
Deliverable 6: One (1) PEA Report (<35 page max main text)	November 17, 2023
Proposed Milestones:	
Deliverable 7: Ad Hoc Briefing or Presentation Requests	as requested
Deliverables (All):	Final deliverables must be completed and submitted to USAID no later than February 9 , 2024

Workplan

- The work plan will describe the planned strategies, methodologies, activities, timelines, and resources associated with completing the developing the deliverables, including but not limited to sub-contracting or staffing (if applicable), data collection, analysis, and report writing.
- As part of the work plan, the selected applicant will be asked to develop, in a 2-page written brief, an overview of the research team's ethical framework for the project. The ethical framework overview should acknowledge authorship guidelines and state there is no concurrent research projects using USAID funds, in addition to the following:
 - 1. Scientific methodology: Researchers should adhere to rigorous scientific methodology in designing, conducting, and analyzing their research. This includes using appropriate controls, minimizing biases and confounding factors, and using valid and reliable measures to collect and analyze data. Researchers should also be transparent in reporting their methods and results, and make their data and materials available for critique and replication by others.
 - **2. Informed consent:** Participants are informed about the purpose, procedures, and risks involved in the study, and provide their voluntary consent to participate.
 - **3. Privacy and confidentiality:** Measures taken to protect the privacy and confidentiality of the participants, such as using anonymous or pseudonymous data collection methods and securing data storage and transfer.
 - **4. Respect for research participants:** Participants are treated with respect and dignity and their rights and welfare are protected. This includes ensuring that vulnerable populations are not exploited, and that participants have the option to withdraw from the study at any time.
 - **5. Justice:** Researchers should ensure that the burdens of the research on participants are balanced fairly with the anticipated benefits of the research. Populations are not exploited or subject to unfair selection criteria.
 - **6. Scientific integrity:** Researchers adhere to high standards of scientific conduct, including honesty, transparency, and accurate reporting of data. This includes disclosing any conflicts of interest and ensuring that the research is not influenced by financial or other interests.
 - **7. Responsible conduct:** Researchers follow applicable laws, regulations, and ethical standards in conducting research, and seek approval from relevant research ethics committees or institutional review boards.
 - **8.** Plan for author contributions and standards for authorship: Researchers have a plan for author contributions to ensure that all anticipated authors have a substantial role in the research that is in alignment with Section 6 "Meeting Standards for Authorship" of the USAID Scientific Research Policy.

At a minimum, the ethical framework will align with:

- <u>USAID Scientific Research Policy</u>, with special attention to Section 3. Quality standards; Section 4. Ethical Standards; and Section 6 subsection "Meeting Standards for Authorship"²
- 2. <u>USAID BHA Emergency Application Guidelines</u> Common Requirements Section 12.5

² If these resources are updated, the research team should use the latest available version. USAID will make a best effort to provide the latest version as it becomes available.

"Code of Conduct and Protection from Sexual Exploitation and Abuse and Section 12.6 "Accountability to Affected Populations" (Section 12.5 and 12.6 are available here)

3. <u>Declaration of Helsinki Ethical Principles for Research Involving Human Subjects</u>

USAID highly recommends that applicants consider the following resources when constructing their ethical framework:

- 1. Doing Global Science: A Guide to Responsible Conduct in the Global Research Enterprise" (2016) by the InterAcademy Partnership (IAP) (a free PDF is available)
- 2. USAID Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment Policy

In addition, the research team will include reference to their own institution-specific policies, procedures, and/or practices in place that ensure technical quality, and research standards.

PEA Report Outline

• The PEA report outline will be drafted by the awardee team and reviewed by the USAID/BHA design team, and finalized based on mutual agreement/feedback received, or later upon mutual agreement of the awardee team and USAID. The exact report outline will reflect the USAID design team's core research questions for a given work assignment. It is anticipated that the PEA report outlines will align with and contextualize the Guidelines for the PEA Report provided above.

Annotated Bibliography

- The annotated bibliography will provide the secondary sources that the awardee reviewed and considered for the development of the PEA. Each entry should follow the Chicago Manual of Style Guide (Zotero or similar platforms will not be accepted), include specific web-based links, and provide a summary that is relevant to the context of the PEA and the specific geographic areas. Sources cited should be recent (from the last seven years) and can be peer reviewed or gray literature, as long as it is specific to the provinces or country of interest. Older sources can be included if no newer data is available or have a unique vantage point unavailable in newer sources. All sources used in the PEA Report should be included in the annotated bibliography.
- Organizational Structure:
 - o Title: Annotated Bibliography for Food and Nutrition Security in Somalia
 - Relevant branding and marking, award information, and acknowledgements
 - Orientation / Introduction:
 - Purpose of the annotated bibliography
 - Organizational structure (description of the geographic and thematic tags used)
 - Analysis (if applicable)
 - Orientation towards other resources
 - Publication entries
 - Other resources (if needed)
- For each publication entry, please include:
 - Citation of the publication (using the Chicago Manual of Style Guide)
 - Abstract of the publication (using the Chicago Manual of Style Guide)
 - Geographic relevance tag(s)
 - Relevant thematic tag(s) see below for further information related to tags

- Given the purpose of the annotated bibliography and the supporting role that it plays related to the PEA, the research team should consider organizing the annotated bibliography in a thematic structure similar to the organization of the PEA which will aid use of the bibliography for those publications. If organized in this way, place the publication under the area of greatest influence and provide other relevant thematic tags as appropriate.
- Please review the following examples for general experience and consideration:
 - Tools for Operationalizing Essential Nutrition Actions Annotated Bibliography
 - o Universal Health Coverage: An Annotated Bibliography
 - An Annotated Bibliography on Payments for Environmental Services

Presentation on Initial Findings

• This includes a briefing to USAID on initial findings prior to report writing. This gives USAID the opportunity to provide feedback on the research to inform the final report. The briefing will occur at a mutually agreeable time and location, however, if feasible, it is preferred to occur at the USAID/Mission, prior to the departure of staff from the country (if applicable). The briefing must include a slide deck presentation that includes the areas agreed upon in the USAID Briefing Outline.

PEA Report

• The narrative report must be provided in a Microsoft Word format and based on the approved PEA Report Outline. Annexes may be provided in Microsoft Word or Excel, as appropriate. USAID will provide feedback within three weeks of receipt of the first draft of the PEA Report. Upon approval of the final reports and products for public consumption, the awardee team will process them for accessibility (508 compliance) and submit them to USAID and other platforms as suggested by USAID [LASER website, Development Experience Clearinghouse.

Ad Hoc Briefings and PresentationsDevelopment Experience Clearinghouse

- USAID may request up to three ad hoc briefings or presentations on content directly related to PEA content. The purpose of the briefings or presentations may be to orient staff or explore available research and information on specific content or topical areas relevant to the PEA. All meetings will provide two weeks' notice, along with a briefing or presentation outline and description of the content requested and slide deck requirements (if applicable). The timing and agenda of the briefing will be mutually agreed upon. It is anticipated that the briefings will be no greater than 2 hours in duration, and use Zoom, Webex, Google Hangouts, or Adobe Connect as platforms.
- Any informal discussion or consultation regarding the development of the PEA can be requested by the awardee or USAID, as needed.

All Deliverables

- All reports/deliverables submitted to USAID should maintain quality standards (e.g. writing, research methodology, Scientific Research Ethics [see Request for Concept Notes], etc.). Specific standards of quality will be provided to the research team. Deliverables lacking in quality will not be accepted for review.
- During the review process, USAID will provide feedback as outlined in the timeline. USAID
 reserves the right to request a teleconference to review the draft or provide additional context.
 The revision process for plans, outlines, reports, and materials will continue until approval is

provided.

All reports/deliverables must follow USAID approved branding and marking guidelines.

Preliminary Required Background Documents for Consultation

Most of the literature will be available publicly or through access to online journal articles. Literature to review includes, but is not limited to:

- USAID/BHA Vision
- USAID Mission and Country Strategies and frameworks (e.g., CDCS);
- Early Recovery, Risk Reduction, and Resilience (ER4) Framework
- Other USAID Resilience programming in Somalia;
- Lessons learned on the ability of layered programming to deliver on resilience and food and nutrition security goals and achievement of the anticipated multiplier effect through coordinated program implementation-- identifying successes and failures of coordination;
- Previous resilience and food and nutrition security assessments;
- Existing RFSA project documents, results, and evaluation reports;
- Program documents from other related projects and initiatives, including USAID/Somalia
 Feed the Future programming and the Somalia GFSS strategy;
- Data and reports from the UN, World Bank, and other donor reports and strategies on food and nutrition security;
- Literature on the regional conflict and security dynamics' impact on resilience and food and nutrition security;
- Additional relevant published and grey literature for Somalia;
- Other international and national data sources as available.

To Be Provided by USAID/BHA:

• Conflict Analysis for Somalia

Past Examples of PEA

• Mali Political Economy Analysis